



COMMUNISM IS TREASON!

FIGHT IT WITH . . .

Common Sense®

LEADER IN THE NATION'S FIGHT AGAINST COMMUNISM

® Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

"The truth,
the whole truth,
and nothing
but the truth
... without fear
or favor"

Conde McAnley
1890 • FOUNDER • 1968

Issue No. 515, April 15, 1968

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, N.J.

Subscription \$3.00 per year

YOU HAD BETTER UPDATE YOUR THINKING ABOUT "RED RUSSIA"

Our Survival Depends on Answer to One Question: Do We Have the Military Hardware—Or Don't We?

Russia Moving Toward Military Supremacy — USA Committed to Insane Policy of Disarmament


CURTAIN-RAISER FOR WORLD WAR III

Russia is by far the most reactionary nation in the world today. Her world policy is based upon a profoundly non-Marxian "real-politik." Russia intends to conquer the world, like Genghis Khan or Alexander the Great. She intends to rape, kill, loot, and to plunder the world's treasures. She intends to exterminate other peoples, to clear their land so that it can be filled by her own multiplying population. In other words, Russia intends to do exactly what nations have been doing for five thousand years. She intends to have herself a good old bloody reactionary romp through the world, just as Genghis Khan did. Any proper Jew-Marxist writer for the New York Times book review section can tell you that this is no way for a Marxist nation to behave itself. A Marxist nation is supposed to be "progressive." That is to say, it is supposed to submit tamely to the rule of the Jewish international banking machine, and to pour its blood, sweat, toil, and tears into their coffers in the form of profits. Russia apparently pays very little attention to Jew-Marxist writers for the New York Times. Russia follows a purely cold-blooded, cynical, utterly reactionary world policy with single-minded purpose, and with consummate skill which would be a credit to Cardinal Richelieu, Prince Metternich, Talleyrand, or even Nicolo Machiavelli. If you visit Russia, you will find that they have tourist guides who are trained to prattle Marxist dogma, in case you want to hear it. Marxist dogma is never allowed to interfere with serious matters of policy-making. If you have formed the habit of thinking of Russians as "stupid reds", it is time to update your thinking about them. The "stupid reds" aren't in Moscow. They are all in New York or Washington, or on the campus in Berkeley, fouling up American policy, not Russian policy. In Moscow, they couldn't get a job scrubbing floors in the Kremlin. They would be considered too stupid. The worst Communist in the world is not a Russian Communist, or a Chinese Communist, but an American Communist. The American Communist is the biggest fool, the worst psychopath, the most intolerable ass of them all.

Russia is not interested in "preventing" World War III; she is planning to win World War III. Russia regards the Vietnam war simply as a maneuver which is a rational part of her long-range military plan. Russia will attack the United States when, and only when, she feels absolutely sure that she can win a quick and easy victory. Russia will try to persuade us to disarm, of course; it will be ever so much easier to defeat us if we are disarmed. World War III will be preceded by truly amazing ideological developments.

(Continued on Page 2, Column 1)

MONUMENT TO FUTILITY



UNITED NATIONS

"We have placed our hope in lies and made falsehood our shelter."

REMEMBER THE PUEBLO?

By CONGRESSMAN JAMES B. UTT

Could this be TREASON, or is it incompetence, or is it just plain stupidity? I wish I had the answer but, with the credibility gap that exists within the Administration, you had better not bet your life, although the Administration is demanding that millions of our young men bet their lives in the cause of freedom.

Some of you may have forgotten, but it really happened . . . the Pueblo was captured and towed into Wonsan port, without firing a shot. Somehow, I am not prone to forget a matter like this, but who can write a half-way intelligent report when Ambassador Goldberg tells one story, Secretary Rusk tells another story, and Secretary McNamara has not the slightest idea what happened . . . in his own shop ? ? ?

My first inclination, based on the intelligence available, was that we should have demanded the release of the ship and the men within twenty-four hours after the seizure, with a warning that, if they were not released unharmed, the port of Wonsan would be obliterated from the earth.

We have electronic equipment which can give the precise position of a rocket travelling to the moon at any split second. Surely, there must be equipment that could have told where the Pueblo was at any minute of the day or night. The Skipper of the ship was under instructions to stay well outside of the

(Continued on Page 4, Column 3)

WE MAY BE HEADED FOR ANOTHER 1929 FINANCIAL PANIC

(From the Douglas M. Smith Letter for the timing and selection of stock trading by the Smith Index of Money Factors, World Political and Economic Forces)

"Public psychology and politics played a leading part in the money panics of 1920, 1929-33, and 1937. What is important to understand in the light of our present money troubles is that it was the remedies that came after an event that caused those three great money panics, and the economic depressions that followed." (D.M.S. Letter 12-15-64)

The FIASCO (remedies) that took place over the weekend (Mar. 16, 17, 1968) will be even less effective than that which the great financial leader of Wall Street, J. P. Morgan, called to attempt to stop the 1929 financial panic. Today, there is NO great financial leader in the United States. Yet Morgan and all the great financial houses, only succeeded in temporarily stopping the panic. True, it turned the market up until the spring of 1930, when it became apparent that the vaulted power of the United States and its Federal Reserve Bank were powerless against world opinion.

"The actions that have followed the enactment of the Federal Reserve Act, have been one of greater instability of our money than possibly in any time in the entire history of the United States, with the possible exception of the Revolutionary War." (D.M.S. Letter 12-15-64).

"When the tide turns and the public confidence turns to fear, we will find ourselves once again in a money panic, an economic panic, and a banking panic." (D.M.S. Letter 12-15-64)

The recent Gold Panic was only a rehearsal for the coming event. We have long passed the "point of no return", and neither the Democratic or the Republican Party offer any change, except minor "stop gaps" of little consequence. It will not satisfy the world.

At the end of November, the foreign claims on our Gold stock were \$33.8 billion. According to the Wall Street Journal of March 18, 1968, "at the fashionable George V Hotel in Paris, an Assistant Manager tells an American tourist his dollar Travelers Cheques may not be cashed for francs."

Make no mistake, the world has lost confidence in our Dollar, our President, and Congress (both Democrats and Republicans). It would have been far better at the meeting March 16, 17, if they had raised the price of Gold to \$70.00 per ounce, and left the differ-

(Continued on Page 4, Column 2)

GET US OUT!

(OF THE UNITED NATIONS)

Curtain-Raiser for W.W. III

(Continued from Page 1, Column 1)

We at COMMON SENSE have just about despaired of ever being able to tell the real, whole-hog truth about Communism. We know it, of course. It has been known to sophisticates around the world for fifty years. It is not, however, easy to tell. It is complex. Propagandists of both the right and the left have spun such vast, intricate webs of falsity as traps for the human mind, that most people are quite unable to think in a clear-cut, rational way about Marxism. People who are caught in a storm seldom take time out to study meteorology. Marxism will blow through the world like a storm; when it is gone, very few people will understand the technical details of what happened. Perhaps few will care. They will know that they have been hit by a disastrous storm; they have been injured; when the storm passes they will simply nurse their wounds.

Seventy-five years ago, Marxism was relatively simple. European Marxism was nothing but a smooth-running department of the Rothschild Bank. The Marxist "labor movement" of Europe was a big "Company Union" into which the workers were herded. Wages were kept low, while prices and profits were kept high. The working class lived at a bare subsistence level, while the Rothschilds waxed fabulously rich. Naturally, the working class became discontented, but this did not matter. Their discontents were channeled and dissipated harmlessly through the Jew-controlled Marxist labor unions. The working class was paid in promises of a future utopia, rather than in immediate cash. The Rothschilds did not fear the "revolution." Why should they have feared it? Were not all of the Marxist leaders on their payroll? Did they not pay the printing bills of the Marxist newspapers? Did they not support all of the sorry lapdog writers and artists who were always prattling of Marxism? Marxism held the European Gentile working man in an iron cage. That was the purpose for which Marxism was originally designed.

Anti-Semites sometimes darkly picture a future in which the world will be ruled by Jews. This simply shows how badly the sense of reality has been impaired in our times. The time when the Jews really ruled the world was fifty years ago. There was a "Red Revolution" in Russia. Jewish writers filled the world with stupid books telling how the "workers and peasants" of Russia had risen up to throw off the Czarist chains, and to hold up before all mankind a bright, new, utopian future. Good, solid gentile middle-class folk were badly worried. Their minds were filled with visions of the dirty, atheistic poor swarming into their pleasant suburbs to loot their nice homes and stop them from clipping the coupons from the bonds. The Rothschilds never worried about such matters. The "Red Revolution" was safely in the hands of those good, efficient Rothschild employees, Lenin and Trotsky. Russia had become a Rothschild colony.

This failed to work out. A nasty little man named Josef Stalin appeared on the scene. We have told our readers in recent issues that Josef Stalin was an Anti-Communist, and a police stool pigeon for the Czarist secret police. Our fan mail indicates that many of our readers have been puzzled by these statements. The confusion arises out of the semantic mayhem which right-left controversy has caused. Many people think that an "Anti-Communist" must always be good, while every "Communist" must always be bad. Stalin was not a Sunday school teacher. When we say that he was an "Anti-Communist," we do not mean that he held the same attitudes which prevail at conventions of the Daughters of the American Revolution. We mean that he was against the policies which Leon Trotsky and V. I. Lenin created for Russia, the policies of the Rothschilds. Trotsky and Lenin did not want Russia to behave like a nation; they wanted Russia to behave like a Rothschild Bank fief.

Stalin insisted that Russia must behave like a nation, not like a mere theory. Stalin almost always did exactly what Cardinal Richelieu would have done under the same

COULDN'T HAPPEN IN RUSSIA

This is New York City in "non-Marxist" America where violence, defiance and subversion are openly tolerated and encouraged. Would Russia tolerate this "Marxist" anarchy?



Some of the more than 100,000 Marxist rabble in protest demonstration in New York City during which the American flag was burned. In Russia, this Marxist rabble would have been killed without mercy or relegated to a concentration camp in Siberia.

circumstances. He based his decisions upon "Realpolitik," the geopolitical realities which faced Russia at any given time. Cardinal Richelieu was Catholic; yet he made alliances with Protestant nations against Catholic nations. He never based his decisions upon mere ideological sentiment. Men like Josef Stalin and Cardinal Richelieu are firmly convinced that mere "beliefs" are made for lesser men than themselves; it would be sheer incompetence to allow mere "beliefs" to interfere with practical statecraft.

Mere "beliefs" do not interfere with Russian policy today. Russian policy is based upon the grim eternities, the sad facts of life concerning the relationship between human nations. It is American policy, not Russian policy, which rests upon a firm foundation of hot air, Marxism, and baloney. It is Our Country which talks of "peace" and "disarmament." It is in America, not Russia, where you hear such baloney as "Ban the Bomb" and "One World" and "Get Out of Vietnam." Marxism has far more influence upon American policy today than it has upon Russian policy.

Sometimes, at Anti-Communist meetings, sweet little old ladies of both sexes express to me their fear of the horrors of having to live under Communism. How can one live without private enterprise, without clipping coupons from the nice bonds dear husband left in his will? I tell them not to fret about it. It will never happen. Russia does not intend you to live under Communism. Russia does not want you to live at all. Russia is not questing after the Marxist Holy Grail; she is lusting for World Conquest. The United States is the chief stumbling block in her way. Therefore, Russia would like to see all Americans dispatched to the next world in the form of radioactive isotopes. Russia does not want the American people; she wants the American land. The world is over-populated; there is no demand for people; the demand is for land which has been cleared of people. World War III will be genocidal. There exists in Moscow a detailed plan for the extermination of the American people. Millions will be killed in the first blow; the remainder will be herded into areas where food supplies will be quickly exhausted, so that they will die of famine. This plan was described in intelligence testimony many years ago before a committee of Congress, and was described in detail by a high-ranking defector from the Soviet Intelligence Service, who said, "they

are planning many bitter surprises for you." Don't worry about "having to live under Communism." Sadism, not Communism, is the basis of Russian policy toward the United States.

Russia is moving very rapidly toward unquestioned military supremacy. We will not attempt any detailed discussion of the technological aspects of the Arms plot. It has been done already, by people better than we. General Nathan F. Twining, former head of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, sounded the warning in March, 1967 Reader's Digest and in his "Neither Liberty Nor Safety." Doctor Edward Teller has sounded the warning in testimony before Committees of Congress. One of the best discussions of all appeared in U.S. NEWS AND WORLD REPORT, issue of Feb. 26, 1968, on pages 84, 85 and 86. This was reprinted from the November-December 1967 issue of THE INTERCOLLEGIATE REVIEW. The author is Dr. James H. McBride, of Georgetown University. Dr. McBride is a real professional, connected with the Center for Strategic Studies at the University. He presents cogent arguments showing that Russia will possess enormously superior military power by 1972. In the face of this threat, the United States is following a policy of disarmament which, under the circumstances, is virtually a policy of national suicide. COMMON SENSE could not remotely begin to match the competence and authority of such men as General Twining and Dr. McBride. If you want to read about our policy of national suicide from the standpoint of military technology, we prefer to refer you to the works we have mentioned here, rather than to attempt a detailed discussion of these subjects ourselves.

We think that there ought to be a certain Spartanism in American life today. We think there should be an end, an absolute end, to all social, economic, and political controversy, which is polarized between "Right" and "Left." The argument about Marxism has been going on for a hundred years. We think this is long enough. Marxism has been many things to many people. Political candidates have gone riding off like Don Quixote charging at windmills, trying to win elections by means of shallow hoop-la and empty sloganeering about "Anti-Communism." The Rothschild Bank once ruled European labor through the prostituted Marxist parties of the European countries. The braying jackass voices of the Jew-Marxists have filled the land for many years. Our domestic "Communists" and "Anti-Communists" have long since become dependent upon each other. All of this is so much hogwash, and it has nothing whatsoever to do with the survival of the United States.

(Continued on Page 3, Column 1)

SPECIAL OFFER...

20 Different Issues of Common Sense
for ONLY \$1.00

ASK FOR "20 DIFFERENT"

Curtain-Raiser for W.W. III

(Continued from Page 2, Column 3)

If we were to wipe out Marxism, and controversy about it, we should run into a certain practical difficulty. A large section of our Jewish citizenry would have to be put into strait-jackets for a while. They would go utterly wild and could not be restrained in any other way. It would be good for them, in the long run, to be cured of the Marxist intellectual affliction. It is high time for them to learn that Marxism is a mere affliction, like stammering or harelip. What is the great fascination which Marxism holds for the American Jew? It is the dream of Jewish power, of course, Jewish power exercised over the Goyim by fraud and deception. The American Jew should face the fact that the time when this dream really worked was seventy-five years ago. Then, Marxism was a really effective tool of Jewish power in the world. Then, Marxism was a smooth-running department of the Rothschild Bank. Back in 1910, German workers flocked to meeting halls to listen in bemused wonder while Jew-Marxist speakers rattled off their Marxist lies. They read the lying bilge printed in the Marxist papers, and never thought to ask who paid the printing bills. (I know who paid the printing bills; in the confusion after World War II, I managed to grab the financial records of some of the Marxist papers of Europe, going back over a period of forty years. Jew-bankers paid the printing bills of these "labor" papers.) It was possible in those days for the Jew banker, the Jew intellectual, to wallow deliciously in his sense of superiority over the Goyim Pigs who were so easily deceived. But by 1933, these selfsame Goyim were screaming, "Heil Hitler! Juden Raus!" The American Jew-Marxist should simply face the fact that he is living in the past. You can't hope to get away with a racket like that forever.

Are American Jews plotting with Russia to bring about the defeat of the United States in World War III? Certainly not. The Jew knows that he is well off in America. He knows too that if the U.S.A. is destroyed, Israel will also go down the drain within twenty-four hours. We read anti-Semitic papers which accuse the Jews of plotting with Russia, of spearheading some vast, wicked, monolithic movement called "World Communism." In spite of what the Anti-Defamation League says about us, COMMON SENSE is not a "Dirty Little Anti-Semitic Rag." We are a journal devoted to telling the truth. When we use terms such as "Jew-Marxist," we must qualify them carefully.

The American Jew-Marxist today does not want what Russia wants. The American Jew-Marxist wants what he had fifty years ago. He wants "Jewish Supremacy." Ah! Those were the good old days of Jewish rule! Rothschild spoke and was obeyed. Rothschild was obeyed by David Lloyd George, by Clemenceau in France, by chancellors Von Beulow and Bethmann-Hollweg in Germany, by V. I. Lenin and Leon Trotsky. Jew money and Jewish intellect ruled the world. But that was fifty years ago. It is high time for the American Jew-Marxist to face the fact that he is living in the past; that he is an intellectual bankrupt; that Jew money and Jewish intellect no longer form an effective lever for moving world events.

We have come to the time of the total bankruptcy of mere "ideas." The only thing which counts today is naked force. Your survival or your extinction from the face of the earth will be decided by one question only: "DO YOU REALLY HAVE THE MILITARY HARDWARE OR DON'T YOU?" If you win the arms race against Russia, you can continue to live; if you lose the arms race, you will die. In the future your land will be lived in by other people, speaking a strange language perhaps running across your skull some day in a gully, as we occasionally find Indian bones or arrowheads.

Marxism? For the love of God! Let's hear no more about it! Let us catch all of these yappy speakers who shoot off their mouths about it, and gag them, and send them to a nice quiet rest home where they can, we hope, recover their mental balance over a period of time.

Vietnam is a sort of ideological museum.

Here, the quaint old Marxist ideas which influenced Europe seventy-five years ago are still operative. The Viet Cong peasant believes things which the European worker devoutly and sincerely believed in 1910, and laughed at by 1927. The Viet Cong peasant is the last sucker, the last little man anywhere on earth who can be swindled into holding a strong, naive, sincere, true belief in Marxism. Once upon a time there were millions of Marxists in Germany. The Communists boasted of "Red Berlin." The working-class districts of Berlin always elected a solidly Communist delegation to the Reichstag. If you were not a Marxist, you had no business trying to live in the working-class districts of Berlin; you would clash too strongly with your neighbors. The Berliners were no campus dilettantes like our 'New Left' in America. They were the called and chosen and faithful of Marxism, the vanguard of the proletariat. These were people who had shed blood in armed combat for Marxism. What ever happened to Red Berlin? I saw what happened. One day I strolled through the Brandenburg Tor, and along Unter Den Linden Strasse, and saw a parade. I saw the called and chosen and faithful of Marxism, the vanguard of the proletariat, marching in brown shirts with swastika armbands. Today you could not find a corporal's guard of real, true-believing Marxists anywhere in Germany. Even in "Communist" East Germany, the workers laugh at Marxism.

Russia? If you attend enough anti-Communist meetings, you will hear speakers rave about Red Russia. They will tell you that Russia is full of people burning with evangelical zeal to spread Marxism through the world. Most of these speakers have never been in Russia and know nothing about it. The "Russia" of which they speak is purely a hypothesis created for purposes of domestic political controversy. I shall tell you no such pack of lies. I shall tell you the truth. Upper-class Moscow is riddled with cynicism about Marxism. The Russian masses are not burning with missionary zeal to spread Marxism around the world. On the contrary, they would like to do what similar masses of people have been doing since the dawn of history. They would like to invade and conquer, to rape and kill and loot and burn, to exterminate other peoples and occupy their land. These profoundly non-Marxian basic instincts completely dominate Russian policy today.

So where can you find a real true believer in Marxism today?

Only in Vietnam. The Viet Cong peasant is the last real Marxist. Needless to say, the Jew-Marxists of the world are fascinated by the Viet Cong peasant. He awakens nostalgia. Ah, the good old days, when Jew-Marxists held the European working class in their grip! Ah, the dear dead nineteen-thirties, when the peasants and workers of America arose and threw out the wasp tycoons who

had ruled for so long, and made the Jews the new ruling class of America! The American Jewish ruling class is already in its dotage; it is already living in the past; it is hopelessly incompetent to deal with the present situation.

The Jew is willing to disarm us, or at least to let us sink to a second-rate position in military technology, because the Jew still believes that he can dominate Russia, and the whole world, by his power of ideas. When Russia has a preponderant superiority of super-weapons, and can destroy us within an hour, what will the Jew do? He will open his braying jackass mouth and utter some "great, powerful Jewish ideas." We may indeed come to a day when we will have nothing but Arthur Goldberg's braying mouth to protect us against Russian superweapons. It isn't that the American Jew-Marxist is a traitor allied to Russia; rather, he is a bloody fool who is living in the past. Fifty years ago, the Jews could and did dominate Russia by their power of ideas. Fifty years ago, the Jews commanded that the Romanov dynasty should be destroyed, and the Romanov dynasty was destroyed. Fifty years ago the Jews commanded that a phoney "Proletarian Revolution" should take place in Russia, and a phoney proletarian revolution did take place in Russia.

BUT ALL THAT WAS FIFTY YEARS AGO. We do not believe that the "Power of Jewish Ideas" is a good defense against Russian superweapons today. Yet that is exactly the defense which American Jews are trying to force us to rely upon. The Jew is staking your life, and his own, on the "Power of Jewish ideas." We need not worry about the "crimes" of the American Jew-Marxist. As long as the American Jew-Marxist could commit brilliant crimes, and get away with them, world capitalism was safe. The things which the American Jew-Marxist is doing today are worse than crimes; they are blunders.

Russia is not making many blunders today. She is marching a sure-footed way toward world conquest, toward world supremacy. The Vietnam war is regarded by Russia as merely a curtain-raiser to World War III, when all Americans, including the Jew-Marxist writers for the New York Times Book Review section, will be blown over the moon.

The Vietnamese, of course, are caught in the middle. Anyone who really gets to know the Vietnamese is certain to like them. They are sharp, smart, bright-eyed people. The very best of them perhaps are in the Viet Cong. Why? Why does the Vietnamese peasant believe in Marxism? For the same reason the German worker believed in it back in 1910. He simply has not had enough experience with it to discover what sort of fraud it really is. Marxism always sucks in the most intelligent elements of the working class.

(Continued on Page 4, Column 1)

Writer Says Soviet No Longer Honors Marxist Ideology

Quoted in The New York Times
PALO ALTO, Calif., Oct. 9—prominent writer on the Russian Revolution said at a conference today that Marxism was no longer an ideology of consequence in the Soviet Union.

The writer, Bertram D. Wolfe, a former Communist and the author of "Three Who Made a Revolution: Lenin, Trotsky, Stalin," said that Lenin, "a semantic juggler with a penchant for dictatorship," began an erosion of Marxism that is now almost complete. "Clearly," he said, "Marxism-Leninism was not an ideology but a conglomerate of ad hoc slogans that changed with each change in the strategic and tactical situation, slogans unified only by Lenin's temperament and will."

Mr. Wolfe's speech opened a five-day conference of scholars on "Fifty Years of Communism in Russia." The meeting is sponsored by Stanford

University's Hoover Institution on War, Revolution and Peace. Mr. Wolfe, who left the Communist party in 1939, is a fellow at the institution.

He said Lenin had led "a bourgeois revolution without the bourgeoisie" and had made "the only improvement possible—a proletarian revolution without the proletariat."

Lenin Termed Confused

Lenin had begun the process that led to a totalitarian "ideology of structure" by confusing the people with the proletariat, the proletariat with the party, the party with the party machine and the party machine with himself.

He said that the "ideology of structure," though cloaked in Marxist terminology, was the true official ideology of the Soviet Union today and that Marx was fading as a quoted authority for world Communist leaders.

Gerhart Niemeyer, professor of political science at the University of Notre Dame, agreed that ideology had been eroded in the Soviet Union.

"There is no ideology accessible to all that can be grasped apart from the party," he said. "The party is the sole source of Communist truth."

Stalin Died When Politburo Foiled His Move To Exile Jews

DETROIT (JTA)—Joseph Stalin died 14 years ago of a rage caused when the Politburo opposed his proposal that all Russian Jews be expelled to Siberia, the Detroit News has reported from Washington. It attributed the information to a secret State Department report. The News claimed the report came from a Soviet defector who received the information from Anastas Mikoyan, who witnessed Stalin's death—according to the report. Mikoyan was First Deputy Prime Minister.

The story alleged that Stalin called a secret Politburo meeting to announce a campaign against the Jews. He said measures should be taken to deport Jews en masse to Biro Bidjan in Siberia. Stalin was quoted as saying "the Jews will be kept hermetically isolated there. They never will be able to come out."

Mikoyan is said to have objected saying that the Communist movement abroad would lose support and Stalin would be continuing Adolf Hitler's policies. Lazar Ka-

When will the U.S. free itself of Jew-Marxist rule and start acting like a nation again?

Curtain-Raiser for W.W. III

(Continued from Page 3, Column 3)

They are bright; they have a good level of native intelligence; yet they lack the sophistication to see through complex ideological frauds. Some day they will become cynical and disillusioned about Marxism; but for a time it holds them in its thrall.

The Vietnam war is the prelude of World War III. In Washington you can hear talk of "peace" and "agreements." Russia does not want peace. She wants to win World War III. Ho Chi Minh does not want peace. He wants to drive the United States out of East Asia. These people want to destroy you, to exterminate you, why, then, do we have all of this nonsense about "peace" and "one world"? The American Jew still believes that he can dominate the world by his power of ideas. We hear a great deal of talk about a "Communist conspiracy" in the United States. We do not have a conspiracy. We have a decadent and incompetent Jewish ruling class, which has grabbed and holds power which it is not competent to exercise. For their own good, the Jewish ruling class should abdicate. They are bankrupt; they should call in the receivers.

World War III is already going on in Vietnam. Unless United States world policy is put on a more realistic basis, World War III will soon be as good as lost. Arthur Goldberg's braying mouth will not be an effective weapon against Russian superweapons. We can be saved only by superior military hardware deployed in accordance with sound national policy.

What about Marxism? What about all of those great, powerful, brilliant ideas? Jews and Anti-Semites alike have labored to create the illusion of a great, monolithic Jewish power structure which would rule the world for centuries to come. Today we see the world Jewish power structure as it really is. It is jerry-built. It is rickety. It is not a monolithic structure at all; it is a house of cards which will be blown away by the first strong wind of change. As a technician of power, the Jew is a quack. The age of Jewish rule does not lie in the future; it lies already in the past. The Jews have ruled the world for a hundred years. They have proven their incompetence, decadence, and corruption beyond shadow of doubt. Their age is ending. Unhappily, because of the great power which the Jews have managed to grab for themselves in the United States it may end in disaster for America.



Very few Americans or Vietnamese really understand Marxism. You do not begin to understand Marxism by listening to the specious arguments of the "Communists" and the "Anti-Communists." Communism and Anti-Communism are merely the opposite sides of the same coin. You begin to understand Marxism only when you understand that it represents, not the rebellion of the lower classes, but the nihilism of the upper classes; not labor, but capital; not an effort to improve the condition of the poor, but a great living lie, a moral cancer which has been eating out the very heart and soul of western civilization for a hundred years. Ho Chi Minh knows this well. He is one of the inner circle of sophisticates of Marxism. If the Viet Cong soldier knew what Ho Chi Minh knows about Marxism, the Vietnam war would end tomorrow. It seems to me that we should have made some effort to tell the people of Vietnam the real truth about Marxism. We probably won't, though. When you begin to tell the real truth about Marxism, you step on some powerful toes, including toes customarily found under important desks in New York and Washington.

These are also the interests which generate the phoney "peace" talk at home. We have had Anti-War Demonstrations and so-called "Teach-Ins" all over the U.S.A. Before he left Saigon, Henry Cabot Lodge said that Anti-War Demonstrations have been a factor in prolonging the war. Quite true, of course. Who organizes these demonstrations? The shabby rabble of so-called intellectuals who do this work claim that they work hard at their full-time jobs as agitators simply because they are filled with idealistic love of peace. The fact is that it costs big money to promote these Anti-War Demonstrations, as

"Marxism a Smooth Running Department of Rothschild Bank"



MAYER A. ROTHSCCHILD, founder of House of Rothschild, world-wide dynasty controlling central banks throughout the world today. PAUL WARBURG, Rothschild representative, sent to U.S. to head Kuhn Loeb & Co. Removed from



Federal Reserve Board by Congress because of his identification with Communist movement. JACOB SCHIFF, Rothschild agent in Kuhn Loeb & Co. and heavy financier of the 1917 Communist Revolution.

We May Be Headed for Another 1929 Financial Panic

(Continued from Page 1, Column 3)

ent currencies at the present parities. We could have paid off our Balance of Payment debts in Gold and interest rates would have fallen. We could then put in strict spending economies and set up a budget which would have included paying off 10% of our National Debt each year, regardless of political complaints. This would mean drastic cuts in the far overstaffed political appointed Federal Government employees and wasteful spending.

John Law, Exchequer of France during the early 18th century, did away with Gold as reserves and adopted Fiat paper currency, as we have just done. The "Louis d'Or", a Gold coin worth 25 francs, was worth 15,000 francs in paper money by 1795, showing what printing press money does to values.

We are still in a money panic which will, in my opinion, get very much worse, and it will be very hard on investors unless they are in securities that will be a hedge against the constant debasement of the dollar.

DOUGLAS M. SMITH

R. R. 4, 294 K

Hot Springs, Ark. 71901

3-21-'68

anybody who has ever done any sort of "organizing" well knows. WHERE DOES THE MONEY COME FROM? Who pays the bills of Organized Treason?

No responsible military commander in Vietnam believes that Ho Chi Minh or his backers want any sort of peace except one involving the defeat of the United States, and the weakening of our position in the world, in preparation for World War III. This is a war which needs to be fought with all our might and won quickly. The timorous policy which corrupt vested interests have forced upon our government in Vietnam is a national disaster. It will cause more suffering and loss of life than a brave, honorable policy which would win and end the war quickly. It is possible for such a policy to be foisted upon us because we have tolerated mere "Communism" and "Anti-Communism" too long, and have never looked deeply enough into the real nature of Marxism.

COMMON SENSE

UNION, NEW JERSEY, U.S.A.

	1st Class	2nd Class
1 year	\$ 5.00	\$3.00
2 years	\$ 8.00	\$5.00
3 years	\$10.00	\$7.00
BULK COPIES — SAME or ASSORTED		
20 Copies	\$ 1.00	
100 Copies	4.00	
500 Copies	15.00	

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each by

CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSN.

530 Chestnut Street, Union, N.J., 07083

EACH ONE REACH ONE — — —

If you are reading COMMON SENSE for the first time, it might be because some friend paid for a subscription for you. Knowing of your interest in the welfare of our beloved America, there might be other friends for whom you might like to do the same favor.

REMEMBER THE PUEBLO?

(Continued from Page 1, Column 2)

thirteen-mile limit, and it would have constituted incompetence to have placed a skipper in charge of the ship who would either disobey orders or did not understand navigation. It was stupid not to provide military security for the Pueblo, after it was harassed.

Even if the Pueblo might possibly have been within the thirteen-mile limit, which I think highly unlikely; then, under international agreement, the offended party has only the authority to warn the offending ship to move out. Under these circumstances, if our government pays tribute to North Korea, as we did in Cuba, we have sunk lower than I even dreamed. By tribute, I do not mean cash. I mean any kind of a promise of discussion or apology, at least until the facts are known. First get our ship and our men back, and then talk.

One question that must be resolved is, "Who ordered the Pueblo to surrender without firing a shot?" Could it be a part of our strategy of surrender? Similar suggestions were made in the Phoenix Papers, and there are people in high places in the Pentagon who have long urged that the United States should not have military superiority but only military equality, on the false assumption that no one would start a fight if he had equal military power. The fallacy of this assumption was more than evident in the six-day Arab-Israeli War. "It's not how strong you make it . . . it's how you make it strong." In other words, strategy is more important than power.

It would certainly be treason if the Pueblo had been delivered into the hands of the communists by design, in order to give to the communists our latest, sophisticated electronic devices. You must recall that the secrets of the atomic bomb and fissionable material were given to the communists by Americans in high position.

President Johnson took advantage of the Pueblo crisis to call up the reserves. These are not needed for the Korean crisis, but are, of course, needed in the Vietnam conflict, because we have run low on pilots and low on aircraft. We have been phasing out military aircraft since the creation of the Disarmament Agency, which has proceeded unilaterally to disarm the United States.

We are still paying the price for not having won a military victory in Korea and for removing General MacArthur from the command. We have not won a military victory nor a diplomatic victory since we became a member of the United Nations, and I still insist that it is sheer folly to leave the security of our country in the "tender hands" of this communist-dominated world organization.

INTERNATIONAL PEACE AND SECURITY

By MARY DAVISON

An analysis and explanation of the United Nations charter and how it affects you! The author contends it is a charter for our new government, the world government. As a treaty, it supersedes the Constitution (Art. 6, Sec. 4). Are we now under two governments or one, asks the author. Read the answer in this startling and revealing analysis. Price \$1.